Case 1:07-cv-10098-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	21 MC 100 (AKH)
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	DOCKET NO.
JULIAN ACOSTA AND HILMA ACOSTA	
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Helle 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	erstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, or all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE	OF ADOPTION
instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in ad-	Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the dition to those paragraphs specific to the individual ed with an ' \square '' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), below.
Plaintiffs, JULIAN ACOSTA AND HILMA GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, con	ACOSTA, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY mplaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:
I. <u>PA</u>	RTIES
A Dra	INTIFE(C)

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. a citizen of	✓ Plaintiff, JULIAN A New Jersey residing at 53 K	,	Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual a wood, NJ 07631	nd
	, E	(OR)	,	
2.	Alternatively, □	is the	of Decedent	
	, and brings this clain	n in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of	

Cas	se 1:07-cv-10098-AKH Document	1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. Jersey residing the Injured Pl	g at 53 Knickerbocker Road, Englewood	er the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New d, NJ 07631-, and has the following relationship to
	JULIAN ACOSTA, and bring injuries sustained by her husba	nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff so this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the nd (his wife), Plaintiff JULIAN ACOSTA. Other:
4. Industries, Ind	In the period from 9/15/2001 to 12/10/c. as a Construction Laborer at:	2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Felix
I	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
The World	I Trade Center Site	□ The Barge
Location(s) (i	e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;
Approximatel	oout <u>9/15/2001</u> until <u>12/10/2002</u> ; ly <u>12</u> hours per day; for	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
Approximatel	ly <u>455</u> days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
☐ The New `	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the
	oout, until, ly hours per day; for	dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total
	ly days total.	days, and for the employer, as specified below:
	Kills Landfill	From on or about;
	oout;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;
Approximatel Approximatel	y hours per day; for y days total.	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site
		Building/Worksite:
	nis information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	Was exposed to and breathed nabove;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed of the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined	

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	✓ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	✓ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
<u> </u>	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORT ORTHON ■ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
=======================================	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	\square CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
_ / ONLD IMIDE COMITMIT, D.I.	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP ☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10098-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specization Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers: Contested, by all jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisd ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
	III CAUSE	S OF	ACTION
of lial	, ,		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-10098-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: 10/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Respiratory Injury: Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems Date of onset: 10/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 10/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 10/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages:		
==== V	Pain and suffering	
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life	
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
▽	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and	
	rehabilitation	
√	Other: ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York October 30, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Julian Acosta and Hilma Acosta

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
October 30, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

et No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
JULIAN ACOSTA (AND WIFE, HILMA ACOSTA),
Plaintiff(s) - against -
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
Defendant(s).
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
To Attorney(s) for
Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
Attorney(s) for
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
□ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
That an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc.,